

ECO 3302 - Intermediate Macroeconomics

Lecture 5: National Income—How It Is Spent

Luis Pérez (luisperez@smu.edu) February 12–14, 2025

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

- 2. Demand for goods and services
 - Consumption
 - Investment
 - Government Spending
- 3. Equilibrium in Goods and Services
 - The Role of Financial Markets
 - Comparative Statics

4. Taking stock

Introduction

▶ Previous lectures:

- Output creation: How inputs transformed into output via production functions
- Income shares: How income distributed among workers, capitalists, firm owners

► Today:

- Demand for goods and services
- Equilibrium in market for goods and services

Demand for goods and services

GDP in a closed economy

▶ In previous lectures, we identified four GDP components:

- Consumption, \boldsymbol{C}
- Investment, I
- Government spending, G
- Net exports, $\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{X}$
- ▶ That is, Y = C + I + G + NX

 \blacktriangleright To simplify analysis, we now assume **closed economy**—that is, NX = 0

Demand for goods and services

▶ In a closed economy, there are three uses for goods and services:

Y=C+I+G

Equation above is a (national accounting) identity

- It doesn't admit causal interpretations (eg, $\uparrow C \neq \uparrow Y$)
- Only accounting interpretations:
 - Output spent on private consumption C, government G and investment I purposes
 - Sum of C, G, and I equals Y
- Big (and common) mistake to see people give accounting identities a causal interpretation... Watch out! You don't want to belong to that group!

Private consumption, \mathbf{C}

\blacktriangleright Private consumption is largest GDP component, accounting for \approx 2/3 of GDP

- 13% durables: cars, TVs, fridges, ...
- 22% Non-durables: food, clothing, gas, ...
- 65% Services: haircut, meals out, movie tickets, ...
- Simplest consumption model:
 - Household earns income $Y (= WL + RK + \Pi)$ from work and ownership
 - Government takes T dollars in taxes
 - Household ends up with disposable income Y T
 - · Household allocates disposable income between consumption and savings

The consumption function

> All consumption models assume consumption is a function of income

Simple consumption function

$$C = C(Y - T)$$

Ie, consumption is *only* a function of disposable income

▶ Example of linear consumption function:



Marginal propensity to consume (MPC)

The marginal propensity to consume, a number between 0 and 1, is the amount by which consumption changes when disposable income increases by one dollar. Formally, if the consumption function is C = C(Y - T), the MPC is dC/d(Y - T)

Eg, If I give you \$1 extra dollar of disposable income and you spend \$0.7 dollars, your MPC is 0.7

► The MPC is a very important object for policymakers. Can you guess why?

• Important to determine the effects of fiscal stimulus!

Example: MPC of linear consumption function

▶ Let $C(Y - T) = C_{\min} + \gamma(Y - T)$, where $C_{\min} > 0$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1]$

▶ By definition, MPC := $\frac{\mathrm{d}C}{\mathrm{d}(Y-T)} = \gamma$



Investment, I

▶ Total investment in the US accounts for about 15% of GDP

- Households do residential investments (ie, housing)
- Firms do nonresidential investments (eg, offices, machines, computers, ...) ...and, remember, we also need to keep track of inventories!

Quantity of investment depends on interest rate (ie, cost of financing investment)

- Suppose you want to start a business and need to borrow \$1M
- You estimate annual return of 10%
- If interest rate $r \ge$ 0.10, you shouldn't undertake project; if r < 0.10, you should
- Two remarks: (i) Same reasoning regardless of whether borrowing is needed; (ii) Same reasoning for individuals

The investment function



- Investment functions make investment depend negatively on interest rate
 - · Higher interest rates render more investment projects unprofitable
- **Example of investment function:**



Nominal vs. Real interest rates

- Economists distinguish between **nominal** and **real interest rates**
 - Nominal interest rate: rate at which investors borrow (typically the one listed)
 - · Real interest rate: nominal interest rate corrected for inflation
- ▶ Relationship between nominal and real interest rates:

 $i = r + \pi$

i: nominal interest rate, r: real interest rate, π : inflation rate

 Eg, if nominal interest rate is 8% and inflation is 3%, real interest rate is 5% (borrowers' repayments fall in value by 3 percent per year)

Quantity of investment determined by real interest rate, which captures true cost of borrowing 11/37

Many types of interest rates

If you look at the world around you, you'll notice many types of interest rates (eg, bank deposits, bank loans, credit card loans, mortgage loans, car loans, bonds, ...)

Different interest rates reflect

- **Bank spreads**: banks charge higher interest on loans that they pay for deposits. Spreads cover operating costs and may yield positive returns
- Term duration: interest rates depend on loan duration (eg, 1 vs. 30-years loan) Long-term interest rates usually higher than low-term ones
- **Credit risk**: interest rates depend on default probability (eg, gvt vs. junk bonds) Interest rates lower for more responsible borrowers and safer assets
- **Tax treatment**: Different assets may offer different tax treatments. Eg, holders of local-gvt and state bonds do not pay federal taxes on income
- **Callability**: Bonds where the borrower can decide to pay principal before maturity date pay higher interest

Government spending, G

- $\blacktriangleright\,$ Government spending in the US accounts for \approx 20% of GDP
 - Federal gvt: guns, missiles, highways, federal gvt employees, ...
 - State and local gvts: schools, DMVs, police officers, firemen, ...
- ► Federal, state, and local gvts also make transfer payments, but these are not included in *G* because do not directly affect demand of goods and services
 - Transfer payments: pension benefits, disability and unemployment insurance, ...
 - Recall that disposable income is Y T. We think of T as net taxes/transfers:
 - Tax: T > 0
 - Transfer: T < 0
- Whether the gvt runs a *balanced budget* (ie, G = T) is something we study in future lectures. For now, we take G and T as given

Equilibrium in Goods and Services

► Equations from discussion on demand of goods and services:

Y = C + I + G	(Resource constraint)
C = C(Y - T)	(Consumption function)
I = I(r)	(Investment function)
$G = \overline{G}$	(Exogenous G)
$T = \overline{T}$	(Exogenous T)

▶ Equations from (previous) discussion on supply of goods and services:

$$Y = F(\overline{K}, \overline{L}) = \overline{Y}$$
 (Production function)

Equilibrium in the market for goods and services

Combining resource constraint and consumption and investment functions:

Y = C(Y - T) + I(r) + G

▶ Using \overline{G} , \overline{T} (fixed by policy) and \overline{Y} (determined by fixed factors):

$$\overline{Y} = C(\overline{Y} - \overline{T}) + I(r) + \overline{G}$$

Supply of output equals output demand, which is the sum of consumption, investment, and government purchases

Interest rate r is only variable not determined in last equation. It adjusts so that goods and services market clears (ie, to balance supply and demand)

$$\overline{Y} = C(\overline{Y} - \overline{T}) + I(r) + \overline{G}$$

Because I(r) depends negatively on r, the higher r, the lower I

Equilibrium interest rate is s.t. demand for goods & services equals supply

▶ To better understand the role of *r*, we look at financial markets

Financial markets

▶ Interest rate is both cost of borrowing and return to lending

• You can either be borrower or lender

▶ Using the national accounting identity of a closed economy, we can write

$$\underbrace{Y - C - G}_{\equiv S \text{ (savings)}} = I$$

Equation states that **flows into financial market (ie, savings) must balance flows out of financial markets (ie, investment)**

▶ We can also distinguish between private and public savings:

$$S = \underbrace{(Y - T - C)}_{} + \underbrace{(T - G)}_{} = I$$

private savings public savings

▶ Let's see how interest rate brings financial markets into equilibrium

▶ Start from

$$\overline{Y} = C(\overline{Y} - \overline{T}) + I(r) + \overline{G}$$



$$\overline{Y} - C(\overline{Y} - \overline{T}) - \overline{G} = I(r)$$
$$\iff \overline{S} = I(r)$$

► LHS states that savings depend on income Y and fiscal-policy variables T, G; RHS states investment depends on the interest rate r

- Fixed supply of savings, as reflected by vertical S line
 - Model assumed S independent of r (will relax this assumption later)



b Downward-sloping investment curve: $\uparrow r \Longrightarrow \downarrow I$



- **Equilibrium interest rate** determined by intersection of S and I(r) curves
 - Equilibrium determined as in any other market: Q is loans, P is interest rate



Eq. interest rate balances households desire to save & firms desire to invest



Fiscal policy: Two experiments

▶ In our basic model, fiscal policy captured by $(\overline{T}, \overline{G})$

- $\uparrow \overline{G}$ or $\downarrow \overline{T}$: expansionary fiscal policy
- $\cdot \downarrow \overline{G} \text{ or } \uparrow \overline{T}$: contractionary fiscal policy

▶ To understand role of fiscal policy, let's do two exercises:

1. Increase \overline{G} by ΔG

2. Decrease \overline{T} by ΔT

Experiment I: Increase \overline{G} by ΔG

- 1. Gvt increases demand from \overline{G} to $\overline{G}+\Delta G$ while keeping \overline{T} fixed
- 2. Because factors of production are fixed, so is output \overline{Y}

 \implies This means increase ΔG must be compensated by some reduction in demand

3. Disposable income $\overline{Y} - \overline{T}$ unchanged since \overline{T} remains fixed; and so does C

• Recall $C = C(\overline{Y} - \overline{T})$

4. Only possibility is $\Delta G = -\Delta I$ (increase in G met by reduction in I)

 \implies Since I = I(r) depends negatively on r, for I to fall, r must increase

Result: Increase in *G* with *T* fixed causes interest rate *r* to rise and investment *I* to decrease; in other words, **public spending crowds out investment**!

Experiment I: Increase in G with T fixed crowds out investment

$$S = \underbrace{(\overline{Y} - \overline{T} - \overline{C})}_{\text{private savings}} + \underbrace{(\overline{T} - \overline{G})}_{\text{public savings}} = I(r)$$

Before experiment:

$$S_1 = (\overline{Y} - \overline{T} - \overline{C}) + (\overline{T} - \underbrace{\overline{G}}_{\equiv G_1}) = I(r_1)$$

► After experiment:

$$S_2 = (\overline{Y} - \overline{T} - \overline{C}) + (\overline{T} - \underbrace{[G_1 + \Delta G]}_{\equiv G_2}) = I(r_2)$$

- Private savings unchanged
- Lower public savings: $\overline{T} G_2 < \overline{T} G_1$
- \implies Lower savings: $S_2 < S_1$

Graphical representation of experiment I (Increase in G with T fixed)

- ▶ Increase in G with fixed \overline{T} leads to lower savings: $S_2 < S_1$ (left shift in S curve)
- At initial interest rate, there's excess demands for loans: I(r₁) > S₂ (firms want to invest more than households want to save)
- ▶ Interest rate adjusts until financial market reaches equilibrium: $I(r_2) = S_2$ (r_2 balances firms' desires to borrow with households' desires to save)



Experiment II: Decrease \overline{T} by ΔT

- 1. Gvt decreases tax revenue from \overline{T} to $\overline{T} \Delta T$ while keeping \overline{G} fixed
- 2. Because factors of production are fixed, so is output \overline{Y}
- 3. Disposable income $\overline{Y} T$ increases since $T = \overline{T} \Delta T < \overline{T}$; and so does C
 - Recall $C = C(\overline{Y} \overline{T})$
 - + C increases by an amount that depends on the MPC: $\Delta C = \mathsf{MPC} \times \Delta T$
- 4. Because \overline{Y} and \overline{G} both fixed, only possibility is $\Delta C = -\Delta I$

 \implies Since I = I(r) depends negatively on r, for I to fall, r must increase

Result: Increase in T with G fixed causes interest rate r to rise and investment I to decrease; in other words, **lower taxes crowd out investment**!

Experiment II: Reduction in T with G fixed crowds out investment

$$S = \underbrace{(\overline{Y} - \overline{T} - C(\overline{Y} - \overline{T}))}_{\text{private savings}} + \underbrace{(\overline{T} - \overline{G})}_{\text{public savings}} = I(r)$$

Before experiment:

$$S_1 = (\overline{Y} - \underbrace{\overline{T}}_{\equiv T_1} - \underbrace{C(\overline{Y} - \overline{T})}_{\equiv C_1}) + (\overline{T} - \overline{G}) = I(r_1)$$

► After experiment:

$$S_2 = (\overline{Y} - (\overline{T} - \Delta T) - \underbrace{C(\overline{Y} - [\overline{T} - \Delta T])}_{=C}) + (\underbrace{[\overline{T} - \Delta T]}_{=C} - \overline{G}) = I(r_2)$$

- Private savings increase: if disposable income increases by ΔT and household only spends MPC × ΔT , private savings increase by (1 MPC) × ΔT
- Public savings decrease: $T_2 \overline{G} < T_1 \overline{G}$
- \implies Lower savings: $S_1 > S_2 = S_1 \Delta C$ (savings decrease by $\Delta C = MPC \times \Delta T$)

Graphical representation of experiment II (Decrease in T with G fixed)

- **Decrease in** T with fixed \overline{G} leads to lower savings: $S_2 < S_1$ (left shift in S curve)
- At initial interest rate, there's excess demands for loans: I(r₁) > S₂ (firms want to invest more than households want to save)
- ▶ Interest rate adjusts until financial market reaches equilibrium: $I(r_2) = S_2$ (r_2 balances firms' desires to borrow with households' desires to save)



Lesson from fiscal policy experiments

Main lesson: Either an increase in government spending or a decrease in tax revenue, with all else equal, crowds out investment

Lesson remains valid in more complicated models

(where savings are still a function of r and other factors affect investment/savings decisions)

Lesson also justifies economists' concerns over budget deficits (which result from government spending too much or raising too little revenue)

- Increasing G or reducing T unilaterally reduces investment
- Lower investment leads to lower capital stocks
- Output increasing in level of capital
- Standard of living increasing in output
- \downarrow Investment $\Longrightarrow \downarrow$ Living standards

Changes in investment demand

> Demand for investment can change for several reasons:

- Arrival of new technologies (eg, computers make us more productive)
- Gvt encourages/discourages investment (eg, tax cuts for productive investment)
- What does it mean that our desired investment changes?
 - Willing to pay more/less for \$1 of investment
 - In other words, willing to take higher/lower interest rate

Represent changes in investment demand via shifts in I(r) curve

Increase in investment demand

Suppose gvt encourages investment through tax cuts for investment goods

⇒ Desired level of investment increases and I curve shifts upward (investment demand higher at any given interest rate)



Increase in investment demand

- Suppose gvt encourages investment through tax cuts for investment goods
- \implies Because savings supply is fixed, new equilibrium interest rate is higher



Increase in investment demand

Suppose gvt encourages investment through tax cuts for investment goods

Despite higher desire for investment, eq. level of investment unchanged (this result follows from assuming S doesn't depend on r)



Increase in investment demand with upward-sloping savings curve

- Suppose gvt encourages investment through tax cuts for investment goods, and also that savings positively depend on interest rate (ie, $\uparrow r \implies \uparrow S$)
- Now, higher desire for investment raises both eq. interest rate & investment (households lower their consumption in response to higher return on savings)



Taking stock

Discussed demand for goods and services in closed economy

• Key (accounting) identity, Y = C + G + I, doesn't admit causal interpretation

lntroduced simple consumption functions, C = C(Y - T)

- Eg, linear consumption function: $C = C_{\min} + \gamma(Y - T)$, where $\gamma \in [0, 1]$

▶ Introduced marginal propensity to consume: how much consumption C increases when disposable income (Y - T) increases by \$1

- Formally, MPC := dC/d(Y T)
- With linear consumption function, $\mathrm{MPC}=\gamma$

Taking stock

- lntroduced simple **investment functions**, I = I(r)
 - Which make investment negatively depend on interest rate r

Discussed nominal vs. real and many other types of interest rates

- Nominal interest rate adjusts real interest rate for inflation: $i = r + \pi$
- Interest rates vary with: spreads, maturity, risk, tax treatment, callability, ...

Studied equilibrium in demand for goods and services

- Interest rate adjusts to clear market
- Financial markets are key to understand market-clearing role of interest rate
- Expansionary fiscal policy can crowd out investment
- Changes in demand for investment alter eq. interest rate and may or may not affect eq. level of investment (depending on whether supply of savings fixed)

Questions?

Thank You!

(Email: luisperez@smu.edu)
(Website: https://luisperezecon.com)